

Recent Approaches for PMOR

Nguyễn, Thanh Sơn

Universitaet Bremen
Zentrum fuer Technomathematik

27th May 2009

Outline

- 1 Statement of the problem
- 2 Approaches
 - Moment matching based methods
 - Balanced Truncation based method
- 3 Concluding remarks
- 3 References

Statement of the problem

Given a parameters-dependent systems of size N

$$\begin{aligned} E(p)x'(t) &= A(p)x(t) + B(p)u(t), \\ y(t) &= C(p)x(t), p = (p_1, \dots, p_k). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Replace it with a parameters-dependent systems of size $n, n \ll N$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}(p)x'(t) &= \hat{A}(p)x(t) + \hat{B}(p)u(t), \\ y(t) &= \hat{C}(p)x(t), p = (p_1, \dots, p_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Statement of the problem

Given a parameters-dependent systems of size N

$$\begin{aligned} E(p)x'(t) &= A(p)x(t) + B(p)u(t), \\ y(t) &= C(p)x(t), p = (p_1, \dots, p_k). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Replace it with a parameters-dependent systems of size $n, n \ll N$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}(p)x'(t) &= \hat{A}(p)x(t) + \hat{B}(p)u(t), \\ y(t) &= \hat{C}(p)x(t), p = (p_1, \dots, p_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Requirements

- Reduction process independent of variation of parameter on a fixed range Ω .
- System (2) approximates system (1) in some sense.
- The reduction procedure should not cost so high.

Requirements

- Reduction process independent of variation of parameter on a fixed range Ω .
- System (2) approximates system (1) in some sense.
- The reduction procedure should not cost so high.

Requirements

- Reduction process independent of variation of parameter on a fixed range Ω .
- System (2) approximates system (1) in some sense.
- The reduction procedure should not cost so high.

Requirements

- Reduction process independent of variation of parameter on a fixed range Ω .
- System (2) approximates system (1) in some sense.
- The reduction procedure should not cost so high.

Recall the method

$$\begin{aligned} Ex'(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t), \\ y(t) &= Cx(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(s) &= C(sE - A)^{-1}B = -C((A - s_0E) - (s - s_0)E)^{-1}B \\ &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ((A - s_0E)^{-1}E)^i (A - s_0E)^{-1}B (s - s_0)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i (s - s_0)^i. \end{aligned}$$

- Construct span $V_{s_0} = \mathcal{K}_{n_0}((A - s_0E)^{-1}E, (A - s_0E)^{-1}B)$,
 $V_{s_0}^T V_{s_0} = I$.
- Also, $V = \{V_{s_1}, \dots, V_{s_k}\}$.

Recall the method

$$\begin{aligned} Ex'(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t), \\ y(t) &= Cx(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(s) &= C(sE - A)^{-1}B = -C((A - s_0E) - (s - s_0)E)^{-1}B \\ &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ((A - s_0E)^{-1}E)^i (A - s_0E)^{-1}B (s - s_0)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i (s - s_0)^i. \end{aligned}$$

- Construct span $V_{s_0} = \mathcal{K}_{n_0}((A - s_0E)^{-1}E, (A - s_0E)^{-1}B)$,
 $V_{s_0}^T V_{s_0} = I$.
- Also, $V = \{V_{s_1}, \dots, V_{s_k}\}$.

Recall the method

$$\begin{aligned} Ex'(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t), \\ y(t) &= Cx(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(s) &= C(sE - A)^{-1}B = -C((A - s_0E) - (s - s_0)E)^{-1}B \\ &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ((A - s_0E)^{-1}E)^i (A - s_0E)^{-1}B (s - s_0)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i (s - s_0)^i. \end{aligned}$$

- Construct $\text{span } V_{s_0} = \mathcal{K}_{n_0}((A - s_0E)^{-1}E, (A - s_0E)^{-1}B)$,
 $V_{s_0}^T V_{s_0} = I$.
- Also, $V = \{V_{s_1}, \dots, V_{s_k}\}$.

Reduced system

$$\begin{aligned}V^T E V x_r'(t) &= V^T A V x_r(t) + V^T B u(t), \\ y_r(t) &= C V x_r(t);\end{aligned}$$

- n_0 moments of TF at s_0 matched,
- Easy to implement by Arnoldi algorithm,
- No guarantee of stability,
- Hard to derive error bound.

Reduced system

$$\begin{aligned}V^T E V x_r'(t) &= V^T A V x_r(t) + V^T B u(t), \\ y_r(t) &= C V x_r(t);\end{aligned}$$

- n_0 moments of TF at s_0 matched,
- Easy to implement by Arnoldi algorithm,
- No guarantee of stability,
- Hard to derive error bound.

Multiparameter moment matching [4, 9]

Treat system parameters, frequency one in the same way

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x}(t) &= (A + pA_1)x(t) + Bu(t), \\ y(t) &= Cx(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Denoting $s_1 = s, s_2 = p, E_1 = E, E_2 = -A_1$, expanding TF at (σ_1, σ_2) and denoting $S = A - \sigma_1 E_1 - \sigma_2 E_2$

$$\begin{aligned} H(s_1, s_2) &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ((s_1 - \sigma_1)S^{-1}E_1 + (s_2 - \sigma_2)S^{-1}E_2)^i S^{-1}B \\ &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^i F_j^i(S^{-1}E_1, S^{-1}E_2) S^{-1}B (s_1 - \sigma_1)^{i-j} (s_2 - \sigma_2)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Multiparameter moment matching [4, 9]

Treat system parameters, frequency one in the same way

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x}(t) &= (A + pA_1)x(t) + Bu(t), \\ y(t) &= Cx(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Denoting $s_1 = s, s_2 = p, E_1 = E, E_2 = -A_1$, expanding TF at (σ_1, σ_2) and denoting $S = A - \sigma_1 E_1 - \sigma_2 E_2$

$$\begin{aligned} H(s_1, s_2) &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ((s_1 - \sigma_1)S^{-1}E_1 + (s_2 - \sigma_2)S^{-1}E_2)^i S^{-1}B \\ &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^i F_j^i(S^{-1}E_1, S^{-1}E_2) S^{-1}B (s_1 - \sigma_1)^{i-j} (s_2 - \sigma_2)^j. \end{aligned}$$

- Defining the *generalized Krylov subspace*

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M_1, M_2, m) = \text{span} \cup_{i=0}^k \cup_{j=0}^i F_j^i(M_1, M_2)m.$$

- Construct $\text{span} V = \mathcal{G}_n(S^{-1}E_1, S^{-1}E_2, S^{-1}B)$,
- Reduced system

$$\begin{aligned} V^T E V x'(t) &= (V^T A V + \rho V^T A_1 V)x(t) + V^T B u(t), \\ y(t) &= C V x(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

- The first n "coefficients" of reduced system match ones of original one.
- Disadvantage:** Difficult to implement stably since one cannot use Arnoldi algorithm.

- Defining the *generalized Krylov subspace*

$$\mathcal{G}_k(M_1, M_2, m) = \text{span} \cup_{i=0}^k \cup_{j=0}^i F_j^i(M_1, M_2)m.$$

- Construct $\text{span} V = \mathcal{G}_n(S^{-1}E_1, S^{-1}E_2, S^{-1}B)$,
- Reduced system

$$\begin{aligned} V^T E V x'(t) &= (V^T A V + \rho V^T A_1 V) x(t) + V^T B u(t), \\ y(t) &= C V x(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

- The first n "coefficients" of reduced system match ones of original one.
- Disadvantage:** Difficult to implement stably since one cannot use Arnoldi algorithm.

Combining with Arnoldi algorithm [5]

The TF of system (4) can be expanded at $(0, 0)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} H(s, p) &= -C(A + pA_1 - sE)^{-1}B \\ &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ((A + pA_1)^{-1}E)^i (A + pA_1)^{-1}Bs^i = -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i s^i. \end{aligned}$$

$$M_0 = (A + pA_1)^{-1}B = \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty} (-A^{-1}A_1)^{i_0} A^{-1}Bp^{i_0}.$$

$$M_1 = (A + pA_1)^{-1}EM_0 = \sum_{i_0, i_1=0}^{\infty} (-A^{-1}A_1)^{i_1} A^{-1}E(-A^{-1}A_1)^{i_0} A^{-1}Bp^{i_1} p^{i_0},$$

$$M_j = \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_j=0}^{\infty} (-A^{-1}A_1)^{i_j} A^{-1}E \dots (-A^{-1}A_1)^{i_0} A^{-1}Bp^{i_j} \dots p^{i_0}$$

- Constructing

$$\text{span}\{V_0\} = \mathcal{K}_{i_0}(A^{-1}A_1, A^{-1}B), \quad B_1 = EK_{i_0},$$

$$\text{span}\{V_1\} = \mathcal{K}_{i_1}(A^{-1}A_1, A^{-1}B_1), \quad B_2 = EK_{i_1}, \dots$$

$$\text{span}\{V\} = \text{span}\{V_0, V-1, \dots, V_j\}, \quad V^T V = I.$$

- The reduced system is like (5)
- The first i_0, \dots, i_j elements in the moments M_0, \dots, M_j are matched.
- **Disadvantage:** order of reduced system is large due to mixed moments.
- Another approach is Two-directional Arnoldi process [8].

- Constructing

$$\text{span}\{V_0\} = \mathcal{K}_{i_0}(A^{-1}A_1, A^{-1}B), \quad B_1 = EK_{i_0},$$

$$\text{span}\{V_1\} = \mathcal{K}_{i_1}(A^{-1}A_1, A^{-1}B_1), \quad B_2 = EK_{i_1}, \dots$$

$$\text{span}\{V\} = \text{span}\{V_0, V-1, \dots, V_j\}, \quad V^T V = I.$$

- The reduced system is like (5)
- The first i_0, \dots, i_j elements in the moments M_0, \dots, M_j are matched.
- **Disadvantage**: order of reduced system is large due to mixed moments.
- Another approach is Two-directional Arnoldi process [8].

Cutting off mixed moments [6]

Denoting TF as function of frequency and system parameters and then expanding at $(0, \dots, 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} H(s_1, \dots, s_k) &= -C(I - \sum_{j=0}^k s_j E_j)^{-1} A^{-1} B \\ &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=0}^k s_j A^{-1} E_j)^i A^{-1} B \end{aligned}$$

- Only keep *pure moments* $C(A^{-1} E_j)^i S^{-1} B$,
- Decreasing the size of reduced system significantly,
- No estimation of the loss of information due to discarding.

Cutting off mixed moments [6]

Denoting TF as function of frequency and system parameters and then expanding at $(0, \dots, 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} H(s_1, \dots, s_k) &= -C(I - \sum_{j=0}^k s_j E_j)^{-1} A^{-1} B \\ &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=0}^k s_j A^{-1} E_j)^i A^{-1} B \end{aligned}$$

- Only keep *pure moments* $C(A^{-1} E_j)^i S^{-1} B$,
- Decreasing the size of reduced system significantly,
- No estimation of the loss of information due to discarding.

Enlarging the range of interpolation [7]

- Transfer function

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(s_1, \dots, s_k) &= -C \left(I - \sum_{j=0}^k s_j A^{-1} E_j \right)^{-1} A^{-1} B \\
 &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k s_j A^{-1} E_j \right)^i A^{-1} B \\
 &= -C \left(I + \sum A^{-1} E_j s_j + \sum A^{-1} E_j A^{-1} E_k s_j s_k + \dots \right) A^{-1} B
 \end{aligned}$$

- Instead of matching high order moments, matching the first order moments at different points.
- Decrease the size of reduced system considerably,
- Capture the behavior of original system on wide range.

Enlarging the range of interpolation [7]

- Transfer function

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(s_1, \dots, s_k) &= -C \left(I - \sum_{j=0}^k s_j A^{-1} E_j \right)^{-1} A^{-1} B \\
 &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k s_j A^{-1} E_j \right)^i A^{-1} B \\
 &= -C \left(I + \sum A^{-1} E_j s_j + \sum A^{-1} E_j A^{-1} E_k s_j s_k + \dots \right) A^{-1} B
 \end{aligned}$$

- Instead of matching high order moments, matching the first order moments at different points.
- Decrease the size of reduced system considerably,
- Capture the behavior of original system on wide range.

Enlarging the range of interpolation [7]

- Transfer function

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(s_1, \dots, s_k) &= -C \left(I - \sum_{j=0}^k s_j A^{-1} E_j \right)^{-1} A^{-1} B \\
 &= -C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k s_j A^{-1} E_j \right)^i A^{-1} B \\
 &= -C \left(I + \sum A^{-1} E_j s_j + \sum A^{-1} E_j A^{-1} E_k s_j s_k + \dots \right) A^{-1} B
 \end{aligned}$$

- Instead of matching high order moments, matching the first order moments at different points.
- Decrease the size of reduced system considerably,
- Capture the behavior of original system on wide range.

Recall the method

For the system (3) with $E = I$, first solve Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0.$$

The **balancing transformation**:

$$\tilde{P} = \tilde{Q} = \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k, \dots, \sigma_n)$$

For an observable, reachable and stable system, there exists

$$T = \Sigma^{1/2}K^TU^{-1}, T^{-1} = UK\Sigma^{-1/2},$$

where $\tilde{P} = UU^T, U^T\tilde{Q}U = K\Sigma^2K^T$.

Very difficult to extend directly to parametric systems

Recall the method

For the system (3) with $E = I$, first solve Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, A^T Q + QA + C^T C = 0.$$

The **balancing transformation**:

$$\tilde{P} = \tilde{Q} = \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k, \dots, \sigma_n)$$

For an observable, reachable and stable system, there exists

$$T = \Sigma^{1/2} K^T U^{-1}, T^{-1} = UK \Sigma^{-1/2},$$

where $\tilde{P} = UU^T, U^T \tilde{Q} U = K \Sigma^2 K^T$.

Very difficult to extend directly to parametric systems

Combining with interpolation [2]

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= A(p)x(t) + B(p)u(t), \\ y(t) &= C(p)x(t), p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

- Suppose (6) stable, observable, reachable and choosing interpolation points $p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(k)}$ and reducing (6) at $p^{(i)}$ by BT, yielding TF $H_r(p^{(i)}, s)$.
- Interpolating TF w.r.t parameter

$$\widehat{H(p, s)} = \sum_{i=0}^k f_i(p) H_r(p^{(i)}, s).$$

- Constructing a realization for TF $\widehat{H(p, s)}$.

Combining with interpolation [2]

$$\begin{aligned}x'(t) &= A(p)x(t) + B(p)u(t), \\y(t) &= C(p)x(t), p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d.\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

- Suppose (6) stable, observable, reachable and choosing interpolation points $p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(k)}$ and reducing (6) at $p^{(i)}$ by BT, yielding TF $H_r(p^{(i)}, s)$.
- Interpolating TF w.r.t parameter

$$\widehat{H(p, s)} = \sum_{i=0}^k f_i(p) H_r(p^{(i)}, s).$$

- Constructing a realization for TF $\widehat{H(p, s)}$.

More on theoretical aspects [3]

Problems

- Stability preservation: Is the reduced system is stable for all $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \Omega$?
- Does there exist an error bound?
- Order of reduced system remains high $\sum n_i$

More on theoretical aspects [3]

Problems

- Stability preservation: Is the reduced system is stable for all $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \Omega$?
- Does there exist an error bound?
- Order of reduced system remains high $\sum n_i$

More on theoretical aspects [3]

Problems

- Stability preservation: Is the reduced system is stable for all $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \Omega$?
- Does there exist an error bound?
- Order of reduced system remains high $\sum n_i$

More on theoretical aspects [3]

Problems

- Stability preservation: Is the reduced system is stable for all $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \Omega$?
- Does there exist an error bound?
- Order of reduced system remains high $\sum n_i$

More on theoretical aspects [3]

- Preserving stability,
- Existing an error bound w.r.t. supnorm,

$$\|H(p, s)\|_{\infty} = \sup_{p \in \Omega} \|H(p, s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}.$$

More on theoretical aspects [3]

- Preserving stability,
- Existing an error bound w.r.t. supnorm,





$$\|H(p, s)\|_{\infty} = \sup_{p \in \Omega} \|H(p, s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}.$$




Remarks

- Moment matching based are preferable,
- Linearize the nonlinear-dependence before using;
- Despite of high cost of computation, BT based suitable for estimating an error bound,
- Problem of a priori error bound.

End

Thank you!

-  [Antoulas05] A. C. Antoulas, *Approximation of Large-scale Dynamical Systems*, Advances in Design and Control DC-06, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2005.
-  [BB08] U. Baur, P. Benner, "Parametric model reduction with sparse grids", GMA FA Workshop, 2008.
-  [BS09] A. Bunse-Gerstner, N.T. Son, "On theoretical aspects of parametric model order reduction with balanced truncation", *to appear*.
-  [Daniel04] L. Daniel, O. C. Siong, L. S. Chay, K. H. Lee, J. White, "A multiparameter moment-matching model-reduction approach for generating geometrically parameterized interconnect performance models", *IEEE Tran. computer-aided design of integrated circuits and systems*, Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 678-693 2004.

-  [Feng05] L. H. Feng, E. B. Rudnyi, J. G. Korvink, "Preserving the film coefficient as a parameter in the compact thermal model for fast electrothermal simulation", *IEEE Tran. computer-aided design of integrated circuits and systems*, Vol. 24, No. 12, pp. 1838-1847, 2005.
-  [Moosman07] C. Moosmann, *ParaMOR- Model Order Reduction for Parameterized MEMS applications*, PhD Thesis, IMTEK, Albert-Ludwigs-University of Freiburg, 2007.
-  [Li05] P. Li, F. Liu, X. Li, L. T. Pileggi, S. R. Nassif, "Modeling interconnect using efficient parametric model order reduction", Proc. Desi. Auto. Test Euro. Conf. Exhi, 2005.
-  [Li08] Y. T. Li, Z. Bai, Y. Su, X. Zeng, "Model order reduction of parameterized interconnect networks via a

two-directional Arnoldi process", IEEE Trans. Com.-Aid Des. Int. Cir. Sys., Vol. 27, No. 9, pp. 1571-1582, 2008.



[Weile99] D. S. Weile, E. Michielssen, E. J. Grimme, K. Gallivan, "A method for generating rational interpolant reduced order models of two-parameter linear systems", *Appl. Math. Lett.*, Vol. 12, pp. 93-102, 1999.