

On Inverse Wave Problems Part 1: Theory

Andreas Kirsch, Andreas Rieder

Inverse Problems for PDEs, Bremen, March 2016

Department of Mathematics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)



CRC 1173

Wave
phenomena

Plan of Talk

- (A) Evolution Equations
- (B) Smoothness With Respect to Parameters
- (C) Local Ill-Posedness

Reference: A. Kirsch, A. Rieder: Inverse Problems for Abstract Evolution Equations With Applications in Electrodynamics and Elasticity. Submitted to *Inverse Problems*.

(A) Evolution Equations

Time – dependent problems are formulated as abstract evolution equations

$$u'(t) = -Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Here, $A : X \supset \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ (unbounded) operator in Hilbert space X , $f : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow X$, and $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.

Note: A is independent of t !

(A) Evolution Equations

Time – dependent problems are formulated as abstract evolution equations

$$u'(t) = -Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Here, $A : X \supset \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ (unbounded) operator in Hilbert space X , $f : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow X$, and $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.

Note: A is independent of t ! **Spaces:**

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A)) &= \{u : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A) : u \text{ continuous}\}, \\ C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X) &= \{u : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow X : u \text{ cont. F-differentiable}\}, \\ L^1(\mathbb{R}_{> 0}, X) &= \{u : \mathbb{R}_{> 0} \rightarrow X : u \text{ meas. and Bochner integrable}\}, \\ W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{> 0}, X) &= \{u \in C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X) : u' \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_{> 0}, X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $C^k(\mathbb{R}_{> 0}, Y)$ and $W_{loc}^{k,1}(\mathbb{R}_{> 0}, X)$ are defined for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

First **homogeneous** equation ($f = 0$):

Theorem (Hille - Yosida)

Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and A **maximal monotone**; that is, $(Av, v)_X \geq 0$ for all $v \in X$ and $A + I : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ is surjective. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X)$ of

$$u'(t) = -Au(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Furthermore, $\|u(t)\|_X \leq \|u_0\|_X$ and $\|Au(t)\|_X \leq \|Au_0\|_X$ for all t .

First **homogeneous** equation ($f = 0$):

Theorem (Hille - Yosida)

Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and A **maximal monotone**; that is, $(Av, v)_X \geq 0$ for all $v \in X$ and $A + I : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ is surjective. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X)$ of

$$u'(t) = -Au(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Furthermore, $\|u(t)\|_X \leq \|u_0\|_X$ and $\|Au(t)\|_X \leq \|Au_0\|_X$ for all t .

Idea of proof: (i) Approximate A by bounded $A_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [I - (I + \varepsilon A)^{-1}]$ (Yosida-approximation),

First **homogeneous** equation ($f = 0$):

Theorem (Hille - Yosida)

Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and A **maximal monotone**; that is, $(Av, v)_X \geq 0$ for all $v \in X$ and $A + I : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ is surjective. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X)$ of

$$u'(t) = -Au(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Furthermore, $\|u(t)\|_X \leq \|u_0\|_X$ and $\|Au(t)\|_X \leq \|Au_0\|_X$ for all t .

Idea of proof: (i) Approximate A by bounded $A_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [I - (I + \varepsilon A)^{-1}]$ (Yosida-approximation),

(ii) the solution for A_ε is given by $u_\varepsilon(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} A_\varepsilon^n u_0$,

First **homogeneous** equation ($f = 0$):

Theorem (Hille - Yosida)

Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and A **maximal monotone**; that is, $(Av, v)_X \geq 0$ for all $v \in X$ and $A + I : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ is surjective. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X)$ of

$$u'(t) = -Au(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Furthermore, $\|u(t)\|_X \leq \|u_0\|_X$ and $\|Au(t)\|_X \leq \|Au_0\|_X$ for all t .

Idea of proof: (i) Approximate A by bounded $A_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [I - (I + \varepsilon A)^{-1}]$ (Yosida-approximation),

(ii) the solution for A_ε is given by $u_\varepsilon(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} A_\varepsilon^n u_0$,

(iii) let ε tend to zero.



First **homogeneous** equation ($f = 0$):

Theorem (Hille - Yosida)

Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and A **maximal monotone**; that is, $(Av, v)_X \geq 0$ for all $v \in X$ and $A + I : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ is surjective. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X)$ of

$$u'(t) = -Au(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Furthermore, $\|u(t)\|_X \leq \|u_0\|_X$ and $\|Au(t)\|_X \leq \|Au_0\|_X$ for all t .

Idea of proof: (i) Approximate A by bounded $A_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [I - (I + \varepsilon A)^{-1}]$ (Yosida-approximation),

(ii) the solution for A_ε is given by $u_\varepsilon(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} A_\varepsilon^n u_0$,

(iii) let ε tend to zero. ■

Remark: A maximal monotone $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ dense and A closed

Reference: H. Brezis: Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Springer, 2011.

Estimate $\|u(t)\|_X \leq \|u_0\|_X$ implies that operators $S(t) : u_0 \rightarrow u(t)$ have bounded extensions $S(t) : X \rightarrow X$ with $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq 1$. Family $S(t)$ forms **continuous semigroup of contractions**; that is,

- $S(t+s) = S(t)S(s)$ for all $t, s \geq 0$,
- $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$,
- $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} S(t) = I$.

Estimate $\|u(t)\|_X \leq \|u_0\|_X$ implies that operators $S(t) : u_0 \rightarrow u(t)$ have bounded extensions $S(t) : X \rightarrow X$ with $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq 1$. Family $S(t)$ forms **continuous semigroup of contractions**; that is,

- $S(t+s) = S(t)S(s)$ for all $t, s \geq 0$,
- $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$,
- $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} S(t) = I$.

Now **inhomogeneous** equation:

Theorem Let A maximal monotone, $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, and $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$. There there exists a unique solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X)$ of

$$u'(t) = -Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

which is given by the variation-of-constant formula

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

If only $u_0 \in X$ and $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$ then this defines **mild solution**.

Mild solution

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s) f(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is **weak solution**; that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t), \psi)_X = -(u(t), A^* \psi)_X + (f(t), \psi)_X$$

for a.a. $t \geq 0$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ where $A^* : X \supset \mathcal{D}(A^*) \rightarrow X$ denotes the adjoint of A .

Mild solution

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s) f(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is **weak solution**; that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t), \psi)_X = -(u(t), A^* \psi)_X + (f(t), \psi)_X$$

for a.a. $t \geq 0$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ where $A^* : X \supset \mathcal{D}(A^*) \rightarrow X$ denotes the adjoint of A .

Stability:

$u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, and $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$, and u classical solution:

$$\|u'(t)\|_X + \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} \leq c[\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} + \|f\|_{W^{1,1}((0,t),X)}], \quad t > 0,$$

Mild solution

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s) f(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is **weak solution**; that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t), \psi)_X = -(u(t), A^* \psi)_X + (f(t), \psi)_X$$

for a.a. $t \geq 0$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ where $A^* : X \supset \mathcal{D}(A^*) \rightarrow X$ denotes the adjoint of A .

Stability:

$u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, and $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$, and u classical solution:

$$\|u'(t)\|_X + \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} \leq c[\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} + \|f\|_{W^{1,1}((0,t),X)}], \quad t > 0,$$

$u_0 \in X$ and $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$, and u mild solution:

$$\|u(t)\|_X \leq c[\|u_0\|_X + \|f\|_{L^1((0,t),X)}], \quad t > 0.$$

Regularity

Theorem Let A be maximal monotone, $f \in W_{loc}^{k,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$u_{0,\ell} := (-A)^\ell u_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (-A)^j f^{(\ell-1-j)}(0) \in \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ for } \ell = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

Then $u \in C^k(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X) \cap C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A))$ and

$$\|u^{(\ell)}(t)\|_X \leq \|u_{0,\ell}\|_X + \|f^{(\ell)}\|_{L^1((0,t),X)}, \quad t \geq 0, \ell = 0, \dots, k,$$

where $u_{0,k} := -Au_{0,k-1} + f^{(k-1)}(0) \in X$.

(B) Smoothness With Respect to Parameters

How to model parameters? Define, for $0 < \gamma_- < \gamma_+$,

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ B \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \begin{array}{l} B \text{ self adjoint,} \\ \gamma_- \|v\|_X^2 \leq (Bv, v)_X \leq \gamma_+ \|v\|_X^2 \quad \forall v \in X \end{array} \right\}$$

and consider evolution equation

$$Bu'(t) = -Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

(B) Smoothness With Respect to Parameters

How to model parameters? Define, for $0 < \gamma_- < \gamma_+$,

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ B \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \begin{array}{l} B \text{ self adjoint,} \\ \gamma_- \|v\|_X^2 \leq (Bv, v)_X \leq \gamma_+ \|v\|_X^2 \quad \forall v \in X \end{array} \right\}$$

and consider evolution equation

$$Bu'(t) = -Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

Lemma If A maximal monotone and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then $A + B : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

(B) Smoothness With Respect to Parameters

How to model parameters? Define, for $0 < \gamma_- < \gamma_+$,

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ B \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \begin{array}{l} B \text{ self adjoint,} \\ \gamma_- \|v\|_X^2 \leq (Bv, v)_X \leq \gamma_+ \|v\|_X^2 \quad \forall v \in X \end{array} \right\}$$

and consider evolution equation

$$Bu'(t) = -Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

Lemma If A maximal monotone and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then $A + B : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

Thus: $B^{-1}A$ is maximal monotone in X with respect to inner product $(u, v)_B := (Bu, v)_X$.

Corollary Results of previous section hold for $\|\cdot\|_X$ replaced by equivalent (uniformly in \mathcal{B}) norm $\|\cdot\|_B$.

(B) Smoothness With Respect to Parameters

How to model parameters? Define, for $0 < \gamma_- < \gamma_+$,

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ B \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \begin{array}{l} B \text{ self adjoint,} \\ \gamma_- \|v\|_X^2 \leq (Bv, v)_X \leq \gamma_+ \|v\|_X^2 \quad \forall v \in X \end{array} \right\}$$

and consider evolution equation

$$Bu'(t) = -Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

Lemma If A maximal monotone and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then $A + B : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

Thus: $B^{-1}A$ is maximal monotone in X with respect to inner product $(u, v)_B := (Bu, v)_X$.

Corollary Results of previous section hold for $\|\cdot\|_X$ replaced by equivalent (uniformly in \mathcal{B}) norm $\|\cdot\|_B$.

Therefore, for fixed $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$ and $T > 0$ the nonlinear mapping ([parameter-to-solution map](#)) $\mathcal{F} : B \mapsto u|_{[0,T]}$ is well defined from \mathcal{B} into $C^1([0, T], X) \cap C([0, T], \mathcal{D}(A))$.

Continuity

Lemma Let A be maximal monotone, $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, $\hat{B}, \hat{B} + B \in \mathcal{B}$, and $f \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$ and $\hat{v}_0 := \hat{B}^{-1}(Au_0 - f(0)) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Let $\hat{u}, \tilde{u} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A))$ be the solutions of

$$\hat{B}\hat{u}'(t) + A\hat{u}(t) = f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad (\hat{B} + B)\tilde{u}'(t) + A\tilde{u}(t) = f(t)$$

for $t > 0$ and $\hat{u}(0) = \tilde{u}(0) = u_0$.

Continuity

Lemma Let A be maximal monotone, $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, $\hat{B}, \hat{B} + B \in \mathcal{B}$, and $f \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$ and $\hat{v}_0 := \hat{B}^{-1}(Au_0 - f(0)) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Let $\hat{u}, \tilde{u} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A))$ be the solutions of

$$\hat{B}\hat{u}'(t) + A\hat{u}(t) = f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad (\hat{B} + B)\tilde{u}'(t) + A\tilde{u}(t) = f(t)$$

for $t > 0$ and $\hat{u}(0) = \tilde{u}(0) = u_0$. Then there exists c , depending only on $A, \gamma_+, \gamma_-, \hat{v}_0$, and f , such that for all $T > 0$:

$$\|\hat{u}(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_X + \|\hat{u}'(t) - \tilde{u}'(t)\|_X \leq c(1 + T) \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Continuity

Lemma Let A be maximal monotone, $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, $\hat{B}, \hat{B} + B \in \mathcal{B}$, and $f \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$ and $\hat{v}_0 := \hat{B}^{-1}(Au_0 - f(0)) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Let $\hat{u}, \tilde{u} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A))$ be the solutions of

$$\hat{B}\hat{u}'(t) + A\hat{u}(t) = f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad (\hat{B} + B)\tilde{u}'(t) + A\tilde{u}(t) = f(t)$$

for $t > 0$ and $\hat{u}(0) = \tilde{u}(0) = u_0$. Then there exists c , depending only on $A, \gamma_+, \gamma_-, \hat{v}_0$, and f , such that for all $T > 0$:

$$\|\hat{u}(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_X + \|\hat{u}'(t) - \tilde{u}'(t)\|_X \leq c(1 + T) \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Theorem Let $T > 0$, $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$, and $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Then $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto u|_{[0,T]}$ is continuous from \mathcal{B} into $C^1([0, T], X)$.

Differentiability

Theorem Let $T > 0$, $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$, and $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Then $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C([0, T], X)$ is Fréchet differentiable at $\hat{B} \in \text{int}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{F}'(\hat{B})B = \bar{u}|_{[0,T]}$ where $\bar{u} \in C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X)$ is the **mild solution** of

$$\hat{B}\bar{u}'(t) + A\bar{u}(t) = -B\hat{u}'(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \bar{u}(0) = 0.$$

Here, $\hat{u} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A))$ is the (classical) solution of $\hat{B}\hat{u}'(t) + A\hat{u}(t) = f(t)$, $t \geq 0$, $\hat{u}(0) = u_0$.

Differentiability

Theorem Let $T > 0$, $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$, and $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Then $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C([0, T], X)$ is Fréchet differentiable at $\hat{B} \in \text{int}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{F}'(\hat{B})B = \bar{u}|_{[0,T]}$ where $\bar{u} \in C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X)$ is the **mild solution** of

$$\hat{B}\bar{u}'(t) + A\bar{u}(t) = -B\hat{u}'(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \bar{u}(0) = 0.$$

Here, $\hat{u} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, X) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{D}(A))$ is the (classical) solution of $\hat{B}\hat{u}'(t) + A\hat{u}(t) = f(t)$, $t \geq 0$, $\hat{u}(0) = u_0$.

Remarks: Here, $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C([0, T], X)$ rather than $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C^1([0, T], X) \cap C([0, T], \mathcal{D}(A))$!

Differentiability into the latter space needs stronger regularity assumptions on u_0 and f (order $k = 2$ in above theorem).

In applications, only $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow L^2([0, T], X)$ or $\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{F}$ with (linear) observation operator $\mathcal{O} : L^2([0, T], X) \rightarrow Z$ is considered.

(C) Local Ill-Posedness

Definition Equation $\mathcal{F}(x) = y$ is **locally ill-posed** at $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfying $\mathcal{F}(\hat{x}) = y$ if in any neighborhood of \hat{x} there exists a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}(x_k) - y\|_Y = 0, \text{ however } \|x_k - \hat{x}\|_X \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ for } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that local illposedness depends on choice of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$.

(C) Local Ill-Posedness

Definition Equation $\mathcal{F}(x) = y$ is **locally ill-posed** at $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfying $\mathcal{F}(\hat{x}) = y$ if in any neighborhood of \hat{x} there exists a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}(x_k) - y\|_Y = 0, \text{ however } \|x_k - \hat{x}\|_X \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ for } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that local illposedness depends on choice of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$.

Theorem Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$. Then $\mathcal{F}(B) = u$ is locally ill-posed at any $\hat{B} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfying $\mathcal{F}(\hat{B}) = u$ if for any $r \in (0, 1]$ there exists $\hat{r} \in (0, r)$ and a sequence of bounded, symmetric and monotone operators $E_k : X \rightarrow X$ with $\hat{B} + E_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\hat{r} \leq \|E_k\| \leq r$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_k v = 0$ for all $v \in X$.

(C) Local Ill-Posedness

Definition Equation $\mathcal{F}(x) = y$ is **locally ill-posed** at $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfying $\mathcal{F}(\hat{x}) = y$ if in any neighborhood of \hat{x} there exists a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}(x_k) - y\|_Y = 0, \text{ however } \|x_k - \hat{x}\|_X \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ for } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that local illposedness depends on choice of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$.

Theorem Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, X)$. Then $\mathcal{F}(B) = u$ is locally ill-posed at any $\hat{B} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfying $\mathcal{F}(\hat{B}) = u$ if for any $r \in (0, 1]$ there exists $\hat{r} \in (0, r)$ and a sequence of bounded, symmetric and monotone operators $E_k : X \rightarrow X$ with $\hat{B} + E_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\hat{r} \leq \|E_k\| \leq r$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_k v = 0$ for all $v \in X$.

In the **applications** (see next talk by the other Andreas) $X = L^2(\Omega)$ and $B \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}) \Leftrightarrow (Bv)(x) = \rho(x)v(x)$ a.e. for $\rho \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then one can take $(E_k v)(x) = r \chi_{K_k}(x)v(x)$ a.e. with $K_k = \{x \in \Omega : |x - z| \leq 1/k\}$ for some $z \in \Omega$.

Thank you for your attention!