

# On problem of bounding infinity norm of matrix inverse

Nguyen Thanh Son

Zentrum für Technomathematik - Uni. Bremen

SCiE seminar, Bremen, 10. April 2010

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Results in literature
  - The Ahlberg-Nilson-Varah(ANV) bound(1975)
  - Varga's bound(1976)
  - Moraca's bound(2007)
  - Kolotilina's bound(2009)
- 3 Summary

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Results in literature
  - The Ahlberg-Nilson-Varah(ANV) bound(1975)
  - Varga's bound(1976)
  - Moraca's bound(2007)
  - Kolotilina's bound(2009)
- 3 Summary

# Motivations

In many situations in numerical analysis, one is involved with a problem of the form

$$AS = D$$

- $A$ : matrix
- $D$ : given data
- $S$ : solution

# Motivations

In many situations in numerical analysis, one is involved with a problem of the form

$$AS = D$$

- $A$ : matrix
- $D$ : given data
- $S$ : solution

Estimations of error

$$\|S - S_e\| \leq c \|D - D_e\|$$

can be established by the bound for matrix inverse

$$\|A^{-1}\| \leq c$$

# Main problem

Measurement of how *well-conditioned* the linear system  $Ax = b$  is leads to the estimation of *condition number*

$$\mathcal{K}(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$$

# Main problem

Measurement of how *well-conditioned* the linear system  $Ax = b$  is leads to the estimation of *condition number*

$$\mathcal{K}(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$$

For some reasons, **the main interest is the upper bound for the infinity norm of matrix inverse.**

# Outline

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Results in literature

- The Ahlberg-Nilson-Varah(ANV) bound(1975)
- Varga's bound(1976)
- Moraca's bound(2007)
- Kolotilina's bound(2009)

## 3 Summary



## Definition

$A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is called *strictly diagonal dominant (SDD)* if

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$$

## Definition

$A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is called *strictly diagonal dominant (SDD)* if  $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$

## Ahlberg-Nilson-Varah bound for SDD matrices [Varah 1975]

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\min_i \{|a_{ii}| - \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|\}} \quad (1)$$

Bound (1) was firstly mentioned in [Ahlber-Nilson 1963] and then was reproved and extended to the block case by [Varah 1975]

## Definition

Let  $A = (A_{ij})$  be the block matrix. It is called *strictly block diagonal dominant (SBDD)* if  $\|A_{ii}^{-1}\|_{\infty}^{-1} > \sum_{j \neq i} \|A_{ij}\|_{\infty} \forall i$

## Definition

Let  $A = (A_{ij})$  be the block matrix. It is called *strictly block diagonal dominant (SBDD)* if  $\|A_{ii}^{-1}\|_{\infty}^{-1} > \sum_{j \neq i} \|A_{ij}\|_{\infty} \forall i$

## Ahlber-Nilson-Varah bound (1975) for block case

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\min_i \{ \|A_{ii}^{-1}\|_{\infty}^{-1} - \sum_{j \neq i} \|A_{ij}\|_{\infty} \}} \quad (2)$$

## Definition

- M-matrix: non-positive off-diagonal entries (Z-matrix) and positive real part of eigenvalues
- H-matrix: If its collocation matrix,  $\mu(A)$ , is an M-matrix
- Given H-matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$

$$u_A = \{u > 0 : \mu(A)u > 0, \|u\|_\infty = 1\}$$

$$f_A(u) = \min_i \{(\mu(A)u)_i\}, u \in u_A$$

## Definition

- M-matrix: non-positive off-diagonal entries (Z-matrix) and positive real part of eigenvalues
- H-matrix: If its collocation matrix,  $\mu(A)$ , is an M-matrix
- Given H-matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$

$$u_A = \{u > 0 : \mu(A)u > 0, \|u\|_\infty = 1\}$$

$$f_A(u) = \min_i \{(\mu(A)u)_i\}, u \in u_A$$

## Note

- An SDD matrix is an H-matrix
- $f_A$  continuous on  $\overline{u_A}$  and let  $f_A(u_0) = \max\{f_A(u), u \in \overline{u_A}\} > 0$ .

## Vagar bound for H-matrix[Vagar 1976]

If  $A$  is non-singular H-matrix, then

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\max\{f_A(u), u \in \overline{u_A}\}} = \frac{1}{f_A(u_0)} \quad (3)$$

where

$$u_0 = \frac{\mu^{-1}(A)z}{\|\mu^{-1}(A)z\|}, z = [1, \dots, 1]^T \quad (4)$$

## Vagar bound for H-matrix[Vagar 1976]

If  $A$  is non-singular H-matrix, then

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\max\{f_A(u), u \in \overline{u_A}\}} = \frac{1}{f_A(u_0)} \quad (3)$$

where

$$u_0 = \frac{\mu^{-1}(A)z}{\|\mu^{-1}(A)z\|}, z = [1, \dots, 1]^T \quad (4)$$

## Note

If  $A$  is SDD,  $\xi = [1, \dots, 1]^T \in \overline{u_A}$  and therefore

$$\frac{1}{\min_i \{ |a_{ii}| - \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \}} = \frac{1}{f_a(\xi)} \geq \frac{1}{\max\{f_A(u), u \in \overline{u_A}\}}$$



## Notation

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}; N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, S \in \mathcal{P}(N) \setminus \{N, \emptyset\}; \\ \bar{S} &= N \setminus S; r_i(A) = \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} |a_{ij}|; r_i^S(A) = \sum_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} |a_{ij}|; \\ T(A) &= \{i \in N, |a_{ii}| \leq r_i(A)\}; \\ J(A) &= \{j \in N, |a_{jj}| - r_i(A) = \min_{i \in N} (|a_{ii}| - r_i(A)) > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

## Notation

$$\begin{aligned}A &= (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}; N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, S \in \mathcal{P}(N) \setminus \{N, \emptyset\}; \\ \bar{S} &= N \setminus S; r_i(A) = \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} |a_{ij}|; r_i^S(A) = \sum_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} |a_{ij}|; \\ T(A) &= \{i \in N, |a_{ii}| \leq r_i(A)\}; \\ J(A) &= \{j \in N, |a_{jj}| - r_i(A) = \min_{i \in N} (|a_{ii}| - r_i(A)) > 0\}\end{aligned}$$

## Definition

$A$  is called an  $S$ -SDD, with a given proper subset  $S \subset N$ , if

$$|a_{ii}| > r_i^S(A) \quad \forall i \in S$$

$$(|a_{ii}| - r_i^S(A))(|a_{jj}| - r_j^{\bar{S}}(A)) > r_i^{\bar{S}}(A)r_j^S(A) \quad \forall i \in S, j \in \bar{S}$$

## Moraca bound for S-SDD and SDD matrices[Moraca 2007]

Let  $A$  be an S-SDD(SDD) matrix for some proper set  $S$  of  $N$  s.t.  
 $\emptyset \subset T(A) \subset \bar{S}(J(A)) \subset \bar{S} \subset N$ . Then

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \max_{i \in S, j \in \bar{S}} \frac{|a_{ii}| - r_i^S(A) + r_j^S(A)}{(|a_{ii}| - r_i^S(A))(|a_{jj}| - r_j^{\bar{S}}(A)) - r_i^{\bar{S}}(A)r_j^S(A)}. \quad (5)$$

## Moraca bound for S-SDD and SDD matrices[Moraca 2007]

Let  $A$  be an S-SDD(SDD) matrix for some proper set  $S$  of  $N$  s.t.  
 $\emptyset \subset T(A) \subset \bar{S}(J(A) \subset \bar{S} \subset N)$ . Then

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \max_{i \in S, j \in \bar{S}} \frac{|a_{ii}| - r_i^S(A) + r_j^S(A)}{(|a_{ii}| - r_i^S(A))(|a_{jj}| - r_j^{\bar{S}}(A)) - r_i^{\bar{S}}(A)r_j^S(A)}. \quad (5)$$

## Comments

- Bound (5) is tighter and have a wider range of application than (1).
- Bound (5) is looser but easier to calculate than (3).

## Definition

- Denote by  $r_i(A)$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row sum of  $A$ . Let  $\langle m \rangle = \bigcup_{k=1}^m N_k$  be a partitioning of the index set  $N$ . Then we have  $N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times N_m$  aggregated matrices of order  $m$

$$A^{(i_1, \dots, i_m)} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{i_1}(A_{11}) & \cdots & r_{i_1}(A_{1m}) \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ r_{i_m}(A_{m1}) & \cdots & r_{i_m}(A_{mm}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1m} \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ A_{m1} & \cdots & A_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

- $A$  is a PM-matrix if  $A$  is a Z-matrix and all  $A^{(i_1, \dots, i_m)}$  are non-singular M-matrices.
- $A$  is PH-matrix if  $\mu(A)$  is a PM-matrix.

## Notes

- If  $A$  is a PM-matrix(PH-matrix) with partitioning  $\langle m \rangle$  then  $A$  is a PM-matrix(PH-matrix) with all partitionings which are finer than  $\langle m \rangle$ .
- With the finest partitioning,  $A$  is a PM-matrix(PH-matrix) iff  $A$  is a M-matrix(H-matrix).
- With the coarsest partitioning,  $A$  is a PH-matrix iff  $A$  is SDD.
- ★ SDD matrices  $\subset$  PH-matrices  $\subset$  H-matrices; PM-matrices  $\subset$  M-matrices;

## Kolotilina's bound for PM- and PH-matrices [Kolotilina 2009]

If  $A$  is a PM-matrix (PH-matrix) with given partitioning, then  $A$  is non-singular and

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \max_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \|A^{(i_1, \dots, i_m)^{-1}}\|_{\infty}. \quad (6)$$

$$(\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \max_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \|\mu(A)^{(i_1, \dots, i_m)^{-1}}\|_{\infty}). \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, these bounds are monotone w.r.t. the underlying partitioning.

## Notes

- If  $A$  is an H-matrix, (7) sharpens the Ostrowski result ( $|A^{-1}| \leq \mu(A)^{-1}$ ).
- If  $A$  is SDD, i.e. a PH-matrix with the coarsest partitioning, (7) reduces to the ANV bound.
- When the partitioning consists of 2 subsets, (7) reduces to Moraca's bound for S-SDD matrices.



# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Results in literature
  - The Ahlberg-Nilson-Varah(ANV) bound(1975)
  - Varga's bound(1976)
  - Moraca's bound(2007)
  - Kolotilina's bound(2009)
- 3 Summary

## Conclusion






Review some well-known results on the bound for infinity norm of matrix inverse

## Conclusion

Review some well-known results on the bound for infinity norm of matrix inverse

## Open problems

- Relating to scaling technique and the iterative Varah bound for SDD matrix mentioned in [Moraca 2007]
- On the effect of scaling technique on the upper bound of [Kolotilina 2009]

-  J. H. Ahlberg, E. N. Nilson, "Convergence properties of the spline fit", *J. SIAM* Vol. 11, pp. 95-104, 1963.
-  L. Yu. Kolotilina, "Bounds for infinity norm of the inverse for certain M- and H-matrices", *Linear Algebra Appl.*, Vol. 430, pp. 692-702, 2009.
-  N. Moraca, "Upper bounds for the infinity norm of the inverse of SDD and S-SDD matrices", *J. Comp. Appl. Math*, Vol. 206, pp. 666-678, 2007.
-  R. S. Vagar, "On diagonal dominance arguments for bounding  $\|A^{-1}\|$ ", *Linear Algebra Appl.*, Vol. 14, pp. 211-217, 1976.
-  J. M. Varah, "A lower bound for the smallest singular value of a matrix", *Linear Algebra Appl.*, Vol. 11, pp. 3-5, 1975.