## The Stable Marriage Problem



Mathias Lindemann
February 14, 2004

## Problem of stable marriage

Imagine you are a matchmaker, with $N$ female clients and $N$ male clients.

## Problem of stable marriage

Imagine you are a matchmaker, with $N$ female clients and $N$ male clients.

Each woman has given you a complete list of the $N$ men, ordered by her preference: her first choice, her second choice, and so on.

## Problem of stable marriage

Imagine you are a matchmaker, with $N$ female clients and $N$ male clients.

Each woman has given you a complete list of the $N$ men, ordered by her preference: her first choice, her second choice, and so on.

Each of the men has given you a complete list of the women, ranked similarly.

## Problem of stable marriage

Imagine you are a matchmaker, with $N$ female clients and $N$ male clients.

Each woman has given you a complete list of the $N$ men, ordered by her preference: her first choice, her second choice, and so on.

Each of the men has given you a complete list of the women, ranked similarly.

Your job: Arrange $N$ "happy"(stable) marriages!

By stable we mean that once the matchmaker has arranged the marriages, there should be no man who says to another woman,
"You know, I love you more than the woman I was matched with - let's run away together!"
where the woman agrees, because she loves the man more than her husband.
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In the spirit of equality, no woman should make such a successful proposal to a man: should she so propose, we want the man to respond, "Madam, I am flattered by your attention, but I am married to someone I love more than you, so i am not interested."

By stable we mean that once the matchmaker has arranged the marriages, there should be no man who says to another woman,
"You know, I love you more than the woman I was matched with - let's run away together!"
where the woman agrees, because she loves the man more than her husband.
In the spirit of equality, no woman should make such a successful proposal to a man: should she so propose, we want the man to respond,
"Madam, I am flattered by your attention, but I am married to someone I love more than you, so i am not interested."

Question: Is it always possible for the matchmaker to arrange such a group of stable marriages, regardless of the preference lists of the men and women?

## Application

- Assignment of students to college places
- Medicine students where assigned to hospitals in USA until 1982 (Students prepared a list of favourite hospitals and hospistals wrote a list of favourite students after interviews)
- Lists were sent to a central computer who computed the assignment


## Setting

- $M$ set of male clients, $F$ set of female clients, $|M|=|F|$


## Setting

- $M$ set of male clients, $F$ set of female clients, $|M|=|F|$
- each $m \in M$ has an order $<_{f}$ of all $f \in F$


## Setting

- $M$ set of male clients, $F$ set of female clients, $|M|=|F|$
- each $m \in M$ has an order $<_{f}$ of all $f \in F$
- each $f \in F$ has an order $<_{m}$ of all $m \in M$


## Setting

- $M$ set of male clients, $F$ set of female clients, $|M|=|F|$
- each $m \in M$ has an order $<_{f}$ of all $f \in F$
- each $f \in F$ has an order $<_{m}$ of all $m \in M$
$m_{1}<_{f} m_{2}$ means $f$ prefers $m_{1}$


## Setting

- $M$ set of male clients, $F$ set of female clients, $|M|=|F|$
- each $m \in M$ has an order $<_{f}$ of all $f \in F$
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A marriage is a bijective mapping $H: M \longrightarrow F$.
We write

$$
(m, f) \in H, H(m)=f, H^{-1}(f)=m .
$$

Definition 1. A marriage is instable, if there exists $m \in M, f \in F$ such that
(1) $(m, f) \notin H$, that is, $m$ and $f$ are not married
(2) $m$ would prefer to be married with $f$ instead of his wife $H(m)$
(3) $f$ would prefer to be married with $m$ instead of her husband $H^{-1}(f)$

Example 2. $M=\{$ anton, bernd, christoph $\}$, $F=\{$ Anna, Bettina, Carola $\}$
anton(a): Bettina, Anna, Carola bernd(b): Carola, Anna, Bettina christoph(c): Carola, Bettina, Anna

Anna(A): anton, bernd, christoph
Bettina(B): bernd, christoph, anton Carola(C): anton, christoph, bernd

One instable marriage(because of anton and Anna):

$$
\{a C, b A, c B\}
$$

## The Algorithm of Gale and Shapley(1962)

while $(\nexists$ a married man $m \in M)\{$
$m$ proposes to his favourite $f$ on his list;
if ( $f$ is not married)
marry $m$ and $f$;
else if $\left(f\right.$ prefers $m$ instead of her husband $m^{\prime}\{$
divorce $\left(f, m^{\prime}\right)$;
marry $f, m$;
$m^{\prime}$ removes $f$ from his list; \}
else ( $f$ is happy with $m^{\prime}$ )
$m$ removes $f$ from his list;
\}

## Test of the algorithm for our example

$a$ proposes to $B \rightarrow\{a B\}$

| a | B | A | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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$A$ : prefers just $a, B:$ prefers $b$ and $c, C$ : prefers just $a$
Proposition 3. The algorithm stops after finite steps.
In each step either a woman is married or an entry is removed from a list. A woman that is married once will be married forever.
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Proposition 4. The algorithm gives a stable marriage $H$, that is, $H$ is complete and $H$ is stable.

1. $H$ is complete. Assume, there remains one single woman $f \in F$. Then, there exists also one single man $m \in M$. But, $m$ has also proposed to $f$.
2. The marriage is stable.

Suppose, $H$ is instable, i.e. $\exists(m, f)$ which prefer each other more than their partners $H(m)$ and $H^{-1}(f)$.
$\Longrightarrow m$ has proposed to $f$ before $H(m)$ but was rejected because of $H^{-1}(f)$.
Since the women can only improve their partnership this is a contradiction.
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Proposition 7. $H$ is men-optimal, i.e. each man marries the best reachable woman. That is, there exists no other stable marriage, where $m$ obtains a better woman.

We show: If $m$ is rejected by $f$, then $f$ is unreachable for $m$.
Assume, that $m$ is rejected by $f$ and there exists a stable marriage $H^{\prime}$ with $(m, f) \in H^{\prime}$.
Let $m^{\prime}$ be the husband of $f$ in $H$. With whom $m^{\prime}$ is married in $H^{\prime}$ ? $m$ is married with $f \neq f^{\prime}$

Case1 $m^{\prime}$ prefers $f^{\prime}$ rather than $f$
Impossible !! $m^{\prime}$ has already proposed to $f^{\prime}$ in $H$ before $f$
Case2 $m^{\prime}$ prefers $f$ rather than $f^{\prime}$
$m^{\prime}: \ldots<_{m^{\prime}} f<_{m^{\prime}} \ldots<_{m^{\prime}} f^{\prime}<_{m^{\prime}} \ldots$ $f: \ldots<_{f} m^{\prime}<_{f} \ldots<_{f} m<_{f} \ldots$
$\Longrightarrow$ contradiction to stability of $H^{\prime}$
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Assume, that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are two different men-optimal solutions $\Longrightarrow$ $\exists m$ that comes off bad in $H_{1}$ or $H_{2}$. Contradiction to optimality.

## Proposition 9. H is "women-pessimal" (opposition of optimal).

Let the stable marriage $H^{\prime} \neq H$ be the worst possible arrangement for the women.
Let $(f, m) \in H,\left(f, m^{\prime}\right) \in H^{\prime}$.
$m$ : unreachable women $<_{m} f<_{m} \ldots$
$f: \ldots m<_{f} \ldots<_{f} m^{\prime} \ldots$
Contradiction to stability of $H^{\prime}$ !
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- can be modelled as a graph problem $\longrightarrow$ Perfect Matching Problem (A matching is a subset of edges where each edge is connected with at most one knot. A perfect matching is a subset of edges where each edge is connected with exactly one knot.)
- Marry to obtain the most happiness $\longrightarrow$ Largest Perfect Matching Problem
- List uncomplete $\longrightarrow$ yet another solution (Theorem of HALL)
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## Questions

- Gives the algorithm always a solution that optimizes the total happiness?
- One can find an example where the men-optimal stable marriage is as much as possible worser than the optimal solution of the Perfect Matching Problem.
- Is the solution of the Perfect Matching Problem always stable?


## Conclusion

Back to application: The algorithm prefers the hospitals. In 1981 appeared an article in the New England Journal of Medicine about this fact. Before that, people assumed that the assignment is fair for both.

