Zentrum für Technomathematik

Fachbereich 3 – Mathematik und Informatik

Numerical Experiments with Self-Adaptive Finite Element Simulations in 2D for the Carbonation of Concrete

Alfred Schmidt **Adrian Muntean**
Michael Böhm

Report 05–01

Berichte aus der Technomathematik

Report 05–01 **April 2005**

Numerical Experiments with Self-Adaptive Finite Element Simulations in 2D for the Carbonation of Concrete

Alfred Schmidt, Adrian Muntean, and Michael Böhm

Zentrum für Technomathematik, FB 3, Universität Bremen, 28334 Bremen, Germany

Abstract

Chemical processes like carbonation of concrete structures are driven by slow diffusion processes and fast reactions. This leads to the formation of relatively sharp reaction fronts, which move slowly through the material. Self-adaptive finite element methods provide a tool to automatically generate meshes locally fine enough to capture the reaction, while coarser meshes are sufficient in the bulk. We demonstrate here the applicability of self-adaptive methods for 2D concrete carbonation problems.

Key words: Adaptive finite element method, reaction-diffusion systems, concrete carbonation, fast reaction

1 Introduction: Need for automatic adaptivity

During carbonation of concrete structures and similar physicochemical processes, relatively sharp reaction fronts move slowly through the material, driven by diffusion processes. In other parts, concentration fields vary only slightly (or not at all).

In order to capture such reaction fronts in a numerical method, a high resolution (equivalent to a fine grid) is needed in those places. But using such a fine grid everywhere, the computation will get very slow, especially in two and three space dimensions. The reason is the stiffness of equations due to high reaction rates, which require stable (and expensive) numerical solution methods and relatively small time steps. On the other hand, such a fine grid is not needed everywhere, because slowly varying concentration fields can easily be approximated on a relatively coarse mesh. Thus, a method which uses a fine mesh near reaction fronts and coarser meshes where possible would make a good balance between accuracy and numerical cost.

Adaptive finite element methods present a tool to automatically give criteria for a local mesh refinement, based on the computed solution (and not only on *a priori* knowledge of an expected behavior). For model problems, even mathematical bounds for the error between approximate and true solution can be shown, as well as quasi-optimality of the meshes generated by the adaptive method. In self-adaptive methods, regions for local refinement

are selected based on local error indicators, which estimate the error contribution of single mesh elements. They are computed from the discrete solution on the current mesh and known data of the problem (like material parameters and boundary values). All mesh elements where these indicators are large must be refined, while elements with very small indicators may even be coarsened. This is important especially for simulations of non-stationary problems, when local internal structures may move or even vanish after some time.

We want to demonstrate here that is is appropriate to apply such self-adaptive finite element methods to a model for the carbonation of concrete in two space dimensions. In the moment, this is only a test for this application, as mathematical proofs for error bounds are not yet derived, and thus error indicators are still purely heuristical. Due to the strong reaction and slow diffusion, it is not even clear what is an appropriate error norm to work with – using the standard L^2-norm based derivation of adaptive methods would need a Gronwall-like estimate, introducing exponentials of the reaction rate and time scale, which are both large, and thus produce practically irrelevant estimates.

For a survey of durability problems in concrete-based materials, see [5], e.g., and references therein. Specifics on concrete carbonation are subject of [2, 11]. First attempts to deal with 2D carbonation issues (under natural exposure conditions of concrete structures) are published in [1, 7, 10].

2 Concrete carbonation model

For demonstration purposes, we restrict ourselves here to a simple reaction-diffusion model in 2D for the carbonation of concrete, involving the concentrations c_1, c_2 of CO_2 in air and liquid phases, c_3 of $Ca(OH)_2$ in water, and the total moisture concentration c_4. In a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, the carbonation can be modeled by the system of reaction-diffusion equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t c_1 - D_1 \Delta c_1 &= -f^{\text{Henry}}, && (1)\\
\partial_t c_2 - D_2 \Delta c_2 &= f^{\text{Henry}} - f_2^{\text{reac}}, && (2)\\
\partial_t c_3 - D_3 \Delta c_3 &= -f_3^{\text{reac}}, && (3)\\
\partial_t c_4 - D_4 \Delta c_4 &= f_4^{\text{reac}}. && (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Absorption of CO_2 from gaseous to water phase is described by f^{Henry}, while f_i^{reac} denote the productions of species i by the carbonation reaction. This system of equations is completed by appropriate initial values and flux boundary conditions. See [6] for a derivation of this and similar models.

Moving to non-dimensional concentrations $u_i = c_i/c_i^m$ and characteristic time/length scales (compare [6], e.g.), integrating over Ω with a test function v, and integrating the Laplacian by parts, this leads to the weak formulation of (1)-(4) in the Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$: For all $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ and times $t \in (0, T)$ holds

$$(\partial_t u_1, v)_\Omega + \quad \delta_1 (\nabla u_1, \nabla v)_\Omega \;=\; (-f^{\text{Henry}}, v)_\Omega + W_1^{\text{Rob}}(u_1^{\text{ext}} - u_1, v)_{\partial\Omega}, \qquad (5)$$

$$\beta_2(\partial_t u_2, v)_\Omega + \beta_2\delta_2(\nabla u_2, \nabla v)_\Omega = (f^{\text{Henry}} - f^{\text{reac}}, v)_\Omega, \quad (6)$$
$$\beta_3(\partial_t u_3, v)_\Omega + \beta_3\delta_3(\nabla u_3, \nabla v)_\Omega = (-f^{\text{reac}}, v)_\Omega, \quad (7)$$
$$\beta_4(\partial_t u_4, v)_\Omega + \beta_4\delta_4(\nabla u_4, \nabla v)_\Omega = (f^{\text{reac}}, v)_\Omega + W_4^{\text{Rob}}(u_4^{\text{ext}} - u_4, v)_{\partial\Omega}. \quad (8)$$

Here, $(v, w)_G := \int_G vw$ denotes the L^2-scalar product, and u_i^{ext} is the exterior value giving the flux boundary condition with mass transfer coefficient W_i^{Rob}, which is used here only for gaseous CO_2 and moisture. The system is completed by initial values for $u_1, \ldots, u_4$ at time $t = 0$. They account for the cement chemistry. The absorption and reaction production terms are given by

$$f^{\text{Henry}} = W^{\text{Hen}}\Big(\frac{C^{\text{Hen}}u_1}{\phi\phi_a} - \frac{\beta_2 u_2}{\phi\phi_w}\Big), \quad f^{\text{reac}} = \Phi^2 F^{\text{Hum}} \frac{u_2^p u_3^q}{(\phi\phi_w)^{p+q-1}},$$

where we denote by ϕ the concrete porosity, ϕ_a, ϕ_w air and water fractions in pores, C^{Hen} the Henry constant, W^{Hen} is an absorption constant, and F^{Hum} is a (constant) humidity factor. The exponents $p, q \geq 1$ are partial reaction orders of the carbonation reaction. Due to the scaling, the previously different reaction production terms now are all the same. The β_i are called impact capacity factors and represent the ratio of the maximum concentration of the i-th species to the maximum $CO_2(g)$ concentration. We denote by δ_i the ratio of the characteristic diffusion time of the $CO_2(g)$ to the characteristic diffusion time of the i-th species. The ratio of the characteristic time for diffusion to the characteristic time for reaction gives the dimensionless coefficient Φ^2, the Thiele modulus.

3 Finite element approximation

Based on the weak formulation (5)-(8), we derive a finite element method by time discretization and looking for solutions in each time step in a finite dimensional subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$.

Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_N = T$ define a subdivision of $(0, T)$ into time steps $I_n = (t_{n-1}, t_n)$ with (not necessarily constant) time step sizes $\tau_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$. For each time step, let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be a conforming triangulation of Ω into triangles. Here we assume that the domain has polygonal boundary $\partial\Omega$. Corresponding to these triangulations, we define the spaces X_n of piecewise linear finite element functions $X_n = \{v \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : v|_S \in \mathbb{P}_1(S) \text{ for all } S \in \mathcal{S}_n\}$.

Using an implicit Euler time discretization, we define in every time step the discrete solution $U_1^n, U_2^n, U_3^n, U_4^n \in X_n$, fulfilling for each $V \in X_n$

$$(\frac{U_1^n - U_1^{n-1}}{\tau_n}, V)_n + \quad \delta_1(\nabla U_1^n, \nabla V)_\Omega = (-f^{\text{Henry}}, V)_n + W_1^{\text{Rob}}(u_1^{\text{ext}} - U_1^n, V)_{\partial\Omega}, \quad (9)$$
$$\beta_2(\frac{U_2^n - U_2^{n-1}}{\tau_n}, V)_n + \beta_2\delta_2(\nabla U_2^n, \nabla V)_\Omega = (f^{\text{Henry}} - f^{\text{reac}}, V)_n, \quad (10)$$
$$\beta_3(\frac{U_3^n - U_3^{n-1}}{\tau_n}, V)_n + \beta_3\delta_3(\nabla U_3^n, \nabla V)_\Omega = (-f^{\text{reac}}, V)_n, \quad (11)$$

$$\beta_4(\frac{U_4^n - U_4^{n-1}}{\tau_n}, V)_n + \beta_4\delta_4(\nabla U_4^n, \nabla V)_\Omega \;=\; (f^{\rm reac}, V)_n + W_4^{\rm Rob}(u_4^{\rm ext} - U_4^n, V)_{\partial\Omega}. \quad (12)$$

Here, $(V,W)_n := \int_\Omega I_n(VW)$ denotes the *lumped* L^2-scalar product, where I_n is the Lagrange interpolation operator. Using this scalar product (which is equivalent to a quadrature formula using only values in vertices), the mass matrix $[(V_i, V_j)_n]$ reduces to a diagonal matrix, where $\{V_i, i = 1, \ldots, dim(X_n)\}$ denotes the Lagrange basis of X_n. Especially, this decouples the nonlinear reaction functions in each vertex of the triangulation, making the solution easier to compute. Additionally, mass lumping leads to a discrete maximum principle (when the triangulation is weakly acute), and thus prevents a possible overshooting of the solution. This system of nonlinear equations is solved in each time step by a modified Newton method.

Different meshes $\mathcal{S}_n$ will be used in each time step, with local mesh size controlled automatically by the adaptive method described next.

4 Error indicators and adaptive method

The usual derivation of error estimates for finite element discretization of (linear and weakly nonlinear) parabolic problems

$$\dot{u} - \Delta u + f(u) = 0 \;\text{ in } \Omega, \quad \nu \cdot \nabla u = g \;\text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$$

leads to error estimates (compare [3, 4, 8]) like

$$\max_{1\le n\le N} \|u(t_n) - U^n\|_\Omega \;\le\; \Big(\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}_0} (\eta_{0,S})^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \max_{1\le n\le N}\left(\eta_{n,\tau} + \Big(\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}_n} (\eta\eta_{n,S})^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right),$$

where $\eta_{0,S}, \eta_{n,\tau}, \eta_{n,S}$ are *computable* error indicators depending only on the discrete solution and known data of the problem,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\eta_{0,S})^2 &= \|u_0 - U^0\|_S^2, \quad S \in \mathcal{S}_0,\\
\eta_{n,\tau} &= C_\tau \|U^n - U^{n-1}\|_\Omega,\\
(\eta_{n,S})^2 &= C_h\Big(h_S^4 \Big\| \frac{U^n - I_n U^{n-1}}{\tau_n} - \Delta U^n + f(U^n)\Big\|_S^2\\
&\qquad + \sum_{\Gamma\subset\partial S\cap\Omega} h_S^3 \,\|[\nabla U^n]_\Gamma\|_\Gamma^2 \;+ \sum_{\Gamma\subset\partial S\cap\partial\Omega} h_S^3 \,\|g - \nu\cdot\nabla U^n\|_\Gamma^2\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $[\nabla U^n]_\Gamma$ denotes the jump of the gradient of U^n over an interior edge Γ of the triangulation, h_S is the diameter of mesh element S, and C_τ, C_h are constants. The use of mass lumping generates a few additional terms in the spatial indicator η_S^n.

Now, a quasi-optimal mesh for a given error tolerance is one, where the error is below the given tolerance, while the error indicators are equally distributed over all mesh elements. The adaptive method tries to automatically arrange time step sizes and local mesh

refinement (and coarsening) in order to achieve this goal. In each time step, it starts with the mesh $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ from the old time step and computes a discrete solution $\tilde{U}^n \in V_{n-1}$. After computing the error indicators, the time step size is adjusted, when needed, and the mesh is modified by *local* refinements and derefinements of $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$, where needed. This generates the mesh $\mathcal{S}_n$, where the corresponding discrete system is solved again for $U^n \in V_n$. For slowly (in time) varying solutions, the second solution step can be omitted, speeding up the calculation. To capture fast changing solutions, it may be necessary to iterate the estimate-refine-solve steps in order to reach the prescribed error tolerance.

Application to concrete carbonation

We want to adopt this method for our application of concrete carbonation. In a heuristical way, we can directly setup error indicators $\eta_S^0, \eta_\tau^n, \eta_S^n$ like above, taking into account all equations from the system (9)-(12). Unfortunately, due to the dominant reaction and slow diffusion (i.e., big Thiele modulus), the mathematical derivation will lead to *very* large constants C_τ, C_h, making the error estimate practically unusable, when aiming at the goal to get the overall error below a given tolerance. For this reason, we will use constants $C_\tau = C_h = 1$ for our numerical experiments. A derivation of better estimates and indicators, based on different error norms and/or estimation techniques, requires future work.

5 Numerical Experiments

In order to test the numerical method, results of a carbonation experiment on samples under laboratory conditions have been used. See [10, 11] for a fairly complete description of the test setup. In [11], Thomas and Matthews consider among others the case of a poor OPC concrete with 1 day of curing and w/c=0.7. For the cement in question, a CaO content of about 65% and a density of $300 kg/m^3$ are assumed. To illustrate the calculations, we assume that the concrete structure is exposed to the increased atmospheric concentration of CO_2(g) of an industrial site, which attacks a corner of the structure. In such a setting, CO_2(g) concentration at the exposed boundaries is about 0.0001 kg/m^3 and the relative humidity (in the structure and outside) is about 65%. The rest of the model parameters (for reaction, transport, mass-transfer at water/air interfaces, etc.) are identical with those given in [6]. See the basic geometry in Figure 1. It shows an L-shaped domain with one symmetry boundary edge (on the right). Besides the symmetry edge, the boundary conditions on all other parts of the boundary are the same. Due to the L-shape, we see the reaction behaviour near three convex (outer) and one concave (inner) corners.

Figure 1 shows concentration fields of $CO_2(g)$, $CO_2(aq)$, and $Ca(OH)_2$ from four different times during the simulation. Due to the scaling and the assumption of constant porosity and water fractions, the $Ca(OH)_2$ values shown on the right hand sides correspond to the *local carbonation degree*. Note that after a few days of carbonation, a clear separation between a carbonated zone and an uncarbonated one appears. After such a



Figure 1: Concentration fields from four different times, showing a clear separation of reactants: $CO_2(g)$, $CO_2(aq)$, and $Ca(OH)_2$, from left to right.



Figure 2: Automatically adapted meshes at four different times, corresponding to concentration fields in Figure 1. After a transient time, an internal reaction layer is formed and progresses into the material. This moving reaction layer is automatically captured by the self-adaptive mesh refinement method.



Figure 3: Meshes from three simulations with different refinement tolerances.

transient time, a thin reaction layer (called carbonation front) is formed. Near the convex outer corners, the carbonation front progresses faster than at straight edges, and it moves even slower near the concave inner corner. Since we only look at the first days of carbonation, relatively few moisture is produced by reaction, thus we don't show the moisture concentration. Figure 2 shows corresponding meshes from four different times, with automatic high refinement near the carbonation front. In Figure 3, we show the influence of different refinement criteria on the resulting meshes. Taken at the same time, it shows meshes with same tolerance as in Figure 2 (middle), as well as tolerances chosen 4 times bigger (left) and 4 times smaller (right).

The implementation of the numerical code was done using the adaptive finite element toolbox ALBERTA [9], which is based on simplicial meshes in 1D, 2D, 3D, where mesh elements are intervals, triangles, and tetrahedra. The toolbox does local mesh refinement by bisection of elements. Here, for a 2D simulation, a initially coarse subdivision of the domain into triangles gets successively locally refined, until the local error indicators are small enough. In each time step, the error indicators are computed and the mesh locally adapted (refined or coarsened), when needed.

6 Conclusions

We have demonstrated that self-adaptive finite element methods can provide an appropriate tool for efficient and reliable numerical solution of reaction-diffusion problems where moving internal reaction layers occur, and forecast of chemical attack in concrete structures. Narrow reaction zones can automatically be resolved by the adaptive method.

Although the current method is mainly heuristical, future investigations and studies may lead to customized error estimates and error indicators, giving (mathematically proven) reliable information about the numerical approximation error between exact and computed solution.

Acknowlegments. This work has been partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) by a grant through the special priority program SPP1122 "Prediction of the Course of Physicochemical Damage Processes Involving Mineral Materials".

References

[1] O. Burkan-Isgor: A Durability Model for Chloride and Carbonation Induced Steel Corrosion in Reinforced Concrete Members. PhD thesis, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 2001.

[2] T. Chaussadent: États de lieux et réflexions sur la carbonatation du beton armé. Technical report, Laboratoire Central de Ponts et Chaussées, Paris, 1999.

[3] K. Eriksson and C. Johnson: Adaptive finite element methods for parabolic problems I: A linear model problem. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 28 (1991), pp. 43-77.

[4] K. Eriksson and C. Johnson: Adaptive finite element methods for parabolic problems IV: Nonlinear problems. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 32 (1995), pp. 1729-1749.

[5] J. Kropp: Relations between transport characteristics and durability. In J. Kropp and H. K. Hilsdorf (Eds): Performance Criteria for Concrete Durability, RILEM Report 12, pp. 97-137. E and FN Spon Editions, 1995.

[6] S. A. Meier, M. A. Peter, A. Muntean, and M. Böhm: Modelling and simulation of concrete carbonation with internal layers. Manuskript, ZeTeM Univ. Bremen, 2005.

[7] A. V. Saetta, B. A. Schrefler, and R. V. Vitaliani: 2d model for carbonation and moisture/heat flow in porous materials. Cem. Concr. Res. 25 (1995), pp. 1703-1712.

[8] Robert Sandboge: Adaptive finite element methods for systems of reaction-diffusion equations. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. 166 (1998), pp. 309-328.

[9] A. Schmidt and K. G. Siebert: Design of adaptive finite element software: The finite element toolbox ALBERTA. Springer LNCSE Series 42, 2005.

[10] A. Steffens, D. Dinkler, and H. Ahrens: Modeling carbonation for corrosion risk prediction of concrete structures. Cem. Concr. Res. 32 (2002), pp. 935-941.

[11] M. D. A. Thomas and J. D. Matthews: Carbonation of fly ash concrete. Mag. Concr. Res. 44 (1992), pp. 160 ff.

Berichte aus der Technomathematik ISSN 1435-7968

http://www.math.uni-bremen.de/zetem/berichte.html

— Vertrieb durch den Autor —

Reports **Stand: 18. April 2005**

98–01. Peter Benner, Heike Faßbender:
An Implicitly Restarted Symplectic Lanczos Method for the Symplectic Eigenvalue Problem, Juli 1998.

98–02. Heike Faßbender:
Sliding Window Schemes for Discrete Least-Squares Approximation by Trigonometric Polynomials, Juli 1998.

98–03. Peter Benner, Maribel Castillo, Enrique S. Quintana-Ortí:
Parallel Partial Stabilizing Algorithms for Large Linear Control Systems, Juli 1998.

98–04. Peter Benner:
Computational Methods for Linear–Quadratic Optimization, August 1998.

98–05. Peter Benner, Ralph Byers, Enrique S. Quintana-Ortí, Gregorio Quintana-Ortí:
Solving Algebraic Riccati Equations on Parallel Computers Using Newton's Method with Exact Line Search, August 1998.

98–06. Lars Grüne, Fabian Wirth:
On the rate of convergence of infinite horizon discounted optimal value functions, November 1998.

98–07. Peter Benner, Volker Mehrmann, Hongguo Xu:
A Note on the Numerical Solution of Complex Hamiltonian and Skew-Hamiltonian Eigenvalue Problems, November 1998.

98–08. Eberhard Bänsch, Burkhard Höhn:
Numerical simulation of a silicon floating zone with a free capillary surface, Dezember 1998.

99–01. Heike Faßbender:
The Parameterized SR Algorithm for Symplectic (Butterfly) Matrices, Februar 1999.

99–02. Heike Faßbender:
Error Analysis of the symplectic Lanczos Method for the symplectic Eigenvalue Problem, März 1999.

99–03. Eberhard Bänsch, Alfred Schmidt:
Simulation of dendritic crystal growth with thermal convection, März 1999.

99–04. Eberhard Bänsch:
Finite element discretization of the Navier-Stokes equations with a free capillary surface, März 1999.

99–05. Peter Benner:
Mathematik in der Berufspraxis, Juli 1999.

99–06. Andrew D.B. Paice, Fabian R. Wirth:
Robustness of nonlinear systems and their domains of attraction, August 1999.

99–07. Peter Benner, Enrique S. Quintana-Ortí, Gregorio Quintana-Ortí:
Balanced Truncation Model Reduction of Large-Scale Dense Systems on Parallel Computers, September 1999.

99–08. Ronald Stöver:
Collocation methods for solving linear differential-algebraic boundary value problems, September 1999.

99–09. Huseyin Akcay:
Modelling with Orthonormal Basis Functions, September 1999.

99–10. Heike Faßbender, D. Steven Mackey, Niloufer Mackey:
Hamilton and Jacobi come full circle: Jacobi algorithms for structured Hamiltonian eigenproblems, Oktober 1999.

99–11. Peter Benner, Vincente Hernández, Antonio Pastor:
On the Kleinman Iteration for Nonstabilizable System, Oktober 1999.

99–12. Peter Benner, Heike Faßbender:
A Hybrid Method for the Numerical Solution of Discrete-Time Algebraic Riccati Equations, November 1999.

99–13. Peter Benner, Enrique S. Quintana-Ortí, Gregorio Quintana-Ortí:
Numerical Solution of Schur Stable Linear Matrix Equations on Multicomputers, November 1999.

99–14. Eberhard Bänsch, Karol Mikula:
Adaptivity in 3D Image Processing, Dezember 1999.

00–01. Peter Benner, Volker Mehrmann, Hongguo Xu:
Perturbation Analysis for the Eigenvalue Problem of a Formal Product of Matrices, Januar 2000.

00–02. Ziping Huang:
Finite Element Method for Mixed Problems with Penalty, Januar 2000.

00–03. Gianfrancesco Martinico:
Recursive mesh refinement in 3D, Februar 2000.

00–04. Eberhard Bänsch, Christoph Egbers, Oliver Meincke, Nicoleta Scurtu:
Taylor-Couette System with Asymmetric Boundary Conditions, Februar 2000.

00–05. Peter Benner:
Symplectic Balancing of Hamiltonian Matrices, Februar 2000.

00–06. Fabio Camilli, Lars Grüne, Fabian Wirth:
A regularization of Zubov's equation for robust domains of attraction, März 2000.

00–07. Michael Wolff, Eberhard Bänsch, Michael Böhm, Dominic Davis:
Modellierung der Abkühlung von Stahlbrammen, März 2000.

00–08. Stephan Dahlke, Peter Maaß, Gerd Teschke:
Interpolating Scaling Functions with Duals, April 2000.

00–09. Jochen Behrens, Fabian Wirth:
A globalization procedure for locally stabilizing controllers, Mai 2000.

00–10. Peter Maaß, Gerd Teschke, Werner Willmann, Günter Wollmann:
Detection and Classification of Material Attributes – A Practical Application of Wavelet Analysis, Mai 2000.

00–11. Stefan Boschert, Alfred Schmidt, Kunibert G. Siebert, Eberhard Bänsch, Klaus-Werner Benz, Gerhard Dziuk, Thomas Kaiser:
Simulation of Industrial Crystal Growth by the Vertical Bridgman Method, Mai 2000.

00–12. Volker Lehmann, Gerd Teschke:
Wavelet Based Methods for Improved Wind Profiler Signal Processing, Mai 2000.

00–13. Stephan Dahlke, Peter Maass:
A Note on Interpolating Scaling Functions, August 2000.

00–14. Ronny Ramlau, Rolf Clackdoyle, Frédéric Noo, Girish Bal:
Accurate Attenuation Correction in SPECT Imaging using Optimization of Bilinear Functions and Assuming an Unknown Spatially-Varying Attenuation Distribution, September 2000.

00–15. Peter Kunkel, Ronald Stöver:
Symmetric collocation methods for linear differential-algebraic boundary value problems, September 2000.

00–16. Fabian Wirth:
The generalized spectral radius and extremal norms, Oktober 2000.

00–17. Frank Stenger, Ahmad Reza Naghsh-Nilchi, Jenny Niebsch, Ronny Ramlau:
A unified approach to the approximate solution of PDE, November 2000.

00–18. Peter Benner, Enrique S. Quintana-Ortí, Gregorio Quintana-Ortí:
Parallel algorithms for model reduction of discrete–time systems, Dezember 2000.

00–19. Ronny Ramlau:
A steepest descent algorithm for the global minimization of Tikhonov–Phillips functional, Dezember 2000.

01–01. Efficient methods in hyperthermia treatment planning:
Torsten Köhler, Peter Maass, Peter Wust, Martin Seebass, Januar 2001.

01–02. Parallel Algorithms for LQ Optimal Control of Discrete-Time Periodic Linear Systems:
Peter Benner, Ralph Byers, Rafael Mayo, Enrique S. Quintana-Ortí, Vicente Hernández, Februar 2001.

01–03. Peter Benner, Enrique S. Quintana-Ortí, Gregorio Quintana-Ortí:
Efficient Numerical Algorithms for Balanced Stochastic Truncation, März 2001.

01–04. Peter Benner, Maribel Castillo, Enrique S. Quintana-Ortí:
Partial Stabilization of Large-Scale Discrete-Time Linear Control Systems, März 2001.

01–05. Stephan Dahlke:
Besov Regularity for Edge Singularities in Polyhedral Domains, Mai 2001.

01–06. Fabian Wirth:
A linearization principle for robustness with respect to time-varying perturbations, Mai 2001.

01–07. Stephan Dahlke, Wolfgang Dahmen, Karsten Urban:
Adaptive Wavelet Methods for Saddle Point Problems - Optimal Convergence Rates, Juli 2001.

01–08. Ronny Ramlau:
Morozov's Discrepancy Principle for Tikhonov regularization of nonlinear operators, Juli 2001.

01–09. Michael Wolff:
Einführung des Drucks für die instationären Stokes–Gleichungen mittels der Methode von Kaplan, Juli 2001.

01–10. Stephan Dahlke, Peter Maaß, Gerd Teschke:
Reconstruction of Reflectivity Desities by Wavelet Transforms, August 2001.

01–11. Stephan Dahlke:
Besov Regularity for the Neumann Problem, August 2001.

01–12. Bernard Haasdonk, Mario Ohlberger, Martin Rumpf, Alfred Schmidt, Kunibert G. Siebert:
h–p–Multiresolution Visualization of Adaptive Finite Element Simulations, Oktober 2001.

01–13. Stephan Dahlke, Gabriele Steidl, Gerd Teschke:
Coorbit Spaces and Banach Frames on Homogeneous Spaces with Applications to Analyzing Functions on Spheres, August 2001.

02–01. Michael Wolff, Michael Böhm:
Zur Modellierung der Thermoelasto-Plastizität mit Phasenumwandlungen bei Stählen sowie der Umwandlungsplastizität, Februar 2002.

02–02. Stephan Dahlke, Peter Maaß:
An Outline of Adaptive Wavelet Galerkin Methods for Tikhonov Regularization of Inverse Parabolic Problems, April 2002.

02–03. Alfred Schmidt:
A Multi-Mesh Finite Element Method for Phase Field Simulations, April 2002.

02–04. Sergey N. Dachkovski, Michael Böhm:
A Note on Finite Thermoplasticity with Phase Changes, Juli 2002.

02–05. Michael Wolff, Michael Böhm:
Phasenumwandlungen und Umwandlungsplastizität bei Stählen im Konzept der Thermoelasto-Plastizität, Juli 2002.

02–06. Gerd Teschke:
Construction of Generalized Uncertainty Principles and Wavelets in Anisotropic Sobolev Spaces, August 2002.

02–07. Ronny Ramlau:
TIGRA – an iterative algorithm for regularizing nonlinear ill–posed problems, August 2002.

02–08. Michael Lukaschewitsch, Peter Maaß, Michael Pidcock:
Tikhonov regularization for Electrical Impedance Tomography on unbounded domains, Oktober 2002.

02–09. Volker Dicken, Peter Maaß, Ingo Menz, Jenny Niebsch, Ronny Ramlau:
Inverse Unwuchtidentifikation an Flugtriebwerken mit Quetschöldämpfern, Oktober 2002.

02–10. Torsten Köhler, Peter Maaß, Jan Kalden:
Time-series forecasting for total volume data and charge back data, November 2002.

02–11. Angelika Bunse-Gerstner:
A Short Introduction to Iterative Methods for Large Linear Systems, November 2002.

02–12. Peter Kunkel, Volker Mehrmann, Ronald Stöver:
Symmetric Collocation for Unstructured Nonlinear Differential-Algebraic Equations of Arbitrary Index, November 2002.

02–13. Michael Wolff:
Ringvorlesung: Distortion Engineering 2
Kontinuumsmechanische Modellierung des Materialverhaltens von Stahl unter Berücksichtigung von Phasenumwandlungen, Dezember 2002.

02–14. Michael Böhm, Martin Hunkel, Alfred Schmidt, Michael Wolff:
Evaluation of various phase-transition models for 100Cr6 for application in commercial FEM programs, Dezember 2002.

03–01. Michael Wolff, Michael Böhm, Serguei Dachkovski:
Volumenanteile versus Massenanteile - der Dilatometerversuch aus der Sicht der Kontinuumsmechanik, Januar 2003.

03–02. Daniel Kessler, Ricardo H. Nochetto, Alfred Schmidt:
A posteriori error control for the Allen-Cahn Problem: circumventing Gronwall's inequality, März 2003.

03–03. Michael Böhm, Jörg Kropp, Adrian Muntean:
On a Prediction Model for Concrete Carbonation based on Moving Interfaces - Interface concentrated Reactions, April 2003.

03–04. Michael Böhm, Jörg Kropp, Adrian Muntean:
A Two-Reaction-Zones Moving-Interface Model for Predicting $Ca(OH)_2$ Carbonation in Concrete, April 2003.

03–05. Vladimir L. Kharitonov, Diederich Hinrichsen:
Exponential estimates for time delay systems, May 2003.

03–06. Michael Wolff, Michael Böhm, Serguei Dachkovski, Günther Löwisch:
Zur makroskopischen Modellierung von spannungsabhängigem Umwandlungsverhalten und Umwandlungsplastizität bei Stählen und ihrer experimentellen Untersuchung in einfachen Versuchen, Juli 2003.

03–07. Serguei Dachkovski, Michael Böhm, Alfred Schmidt, Michael Wolff:
Comparison of several kinetic equations for pearlite transformation in 100Cr6 steel,
Juli 2003.

03–08. Volker Dicken, Peter Maass, Ingo Menz, Jenny Niebsch, Ronny Ramlau:
Nonlinear Inverse Unbalance Reconstruction in Rotor dynamics,
Juli 2003.

03–09. Michael Böhm, Serguei Dachkovski, Martin Hunkel, Thomas Lübben, Michael Wolff:
Übersicht über einige makroskopische Modelle für Phasenumwandlungen im Stahl,
Juli 2003.

03–10. Michael Wolff, Friedhelm Frerichs, Bettina Suhr:
Vorstudie für einen Bauteilversuch zur Umwandlungsplastizität bei der perlitischen Umwandlung des Stahls 100 Cr6,
August 2003.

03–11. Michael Wolff, Bettina Suhr:
Zum Vergleich von Massen- und Volumenanteilen bei der perlitischen Umwandlung der Stähle 100Cr6 und C80,
September 2003.

03–12. Rike Grotmaack, Adrian Muntean:
Stabilitätsanalyse eines Moving-Boundary-Modells der beschleunigten Karbonatisierung von Portlandzementen,
September 2003.

03–13. Alfred Schmidt, Michael Wolff, Michael Böhm:
Numerische Untersuchungen für ein Modell des Materialverhaltens mit Umwandlungsplastizität und Phasenumwandlungen beim Stahl 100Cr6 (Teil 1),
September 2003.

04–01. Liliana Cruz Martin, Gerd Teschke:
A new method to reconstruct radar reflectivities and Doppler information,
Januar 2004.

04–02. Ingrid Daubechies, Gerd Teschke:
Wavelet based image decomposition by variational functionals,
Januar 2004.

04–03. N. Guglielmi, F. Wirth, M. Zennaro:
Complex polytope extremality results for families of matrices,
März 2004.

04–04. I. Daubechies, G. Teschke:
Variational image restoration by means of wavelets: simultaneous decomposition, deblurring and denoising,
April 2004.

04–05. V.L. Kharitonov, E. Plischke:
Lyapunov matrices for time-delay systems,
April 2004.

04–06. Ronny Ramlau:
On the use of fixed point iterations for the regularization of nonlinear ill-posed problems,
Juni 2004.

04–07. Christof Büskens, Matthias Knauer:
Higher Order Real-Time Approximations In Optimal Control of Multibody-Systems For Industrial Robots,
August 2004.

04–08. Christof Büskens, Roland Griesse:
Computational Parametric Sensitivity Analysis of Perturbed PDE Optimal Control Problems with State and Control Constraints,
August 2004.

04–09. Christof Büskens:
Higher Order Real-Time Approximations of Perturbed Control Constrainted PDE Optimal Control Problems ,
August 2004.

04–10. Christof Büskens, Matthias Gerdts:
Differentiability of Consistency Functions,
August 2004.

04–11. Robert Baier, Christof Büskens, Ilyes Aïssa Chama, Matthias Gerdts:
Approximation of Reachable Sets by Direct Solution Methods of Optimal Control Problems,
August 2004.

04–12. J. Soares, G. Teschke, M. Zhariy:
A Wavelet Regularization for Nonlinear Diffusion Equations,
September 2004.

05–01. Alfred Schmidt, Adrian Muntean, Michael Böhm:
Numerical experiments with Self-Adaptive Finite Element Simulations in 2D for the Carbonation of Concrete,
April 2005.